Cryptography Part V: Secret Sharing ECE 4156/6156 Hardware-Oriented Security and Trust

Spring 2024
Assoc. Prof. Vincent John Mooney III

Georgia Institute of Technology

Reading

- Handbook of Applied Cryptography, Chapter 12.7, pp. 524-528
- Introduction to Modern Cryptography, Chapter 13.3, pp. 501-507

Background

- Consider a situation where you want to require t out of N users to make a request or else the request is not granted
 - For example, consider a safe vault with secret documents and N executive officers
 - E.g., N = 5
 - The policy may be to require t of the N officers to open the safe vault
 - E.g., t = 3
- Other similar situations may exist with missile codes, encryption keys (e.g., in a secure boot process), passwords distributed geographically among several servers, and other financial/bank account scenarios

Some Initial Cases

- Case #1: t = N
 - Suppose we use an ℓ -bit number where $N \ll 2^{\ell}$
 - Choose $t = N \ell$ -bit numbers s_i uniformly at random note that each is called a "share"
 - $s_1, ..., s_i, ..., s_N$ note that each s_i is called a "share" of the secret
 - Define the secret s to be $s = s_1 \oplus ... \oplus s_i \oplus ... \oplus s_N$
 - Clearly, all t = N users' secrets are needed to recover s
 - Also, any set of N-1 users' secrets reveals nothing about s
 - This "reveals nothing" claim can be statistically proven
 - You can also see this by trying to devise an attack

Naive approach

- For example, consider a 128-bit key divided into eight locations on a chip for secure boot
- You might say let's divide this into 16-bit numbers, i.e., $|s_i|=16$ for each "share"
 - But now suppose that the adversary finds seven of the locations
 - With brute force effort, the 128-bit key can be guessed in 2^{16} =65,536 steps which can be < 1 second

Cases Where *t* < *N*

- Case #2: t < N
 - There are two subcases
 - Exactly t users' shares are needed to open the safe (more generally, obtain the secret)
 - t or more than t users' shares can be quickly combined to open the safe
 - Can we use the XOR based approach (see previous page)?
 - Consider N = 6
 - There are 15 combinations of two people A and B: A&B, A&C, A&D, A&E, A&F, B&C, B&D, B&E, B&F, C&D, C&E, C&F, D&E, D&F, E&F
 - There are $\binom{6}{3} = \frac{6!}{3!(6-3)!} = \frac{6*5*4*3*2*1}{3*2*1(3*2*1)} = 20$ combinations of three people
 - Et cetera
 - Conclusion: for allowing any three out of six executives to open a safe, each executive would have to be issued 20 keys with the safe performing 20 comparisons in the worst case each time the safe is opened
 - In Computer Science, the XOR based approach is not considered "efficient"

Efficient Secret Sharing for *t* < *N*

• Example case for t = 2

Efficient Secret Sharing

- Mathematics for efficient secret sharing was simultaneously and independently developed by Adi Shamir (of RSA fame) and George Blakley in 1979
 - Blakley, G.R. (1979). "Safeguarding Cryptographic Keys" (PDF). Managing Requirements Knowledge, International Workshop on (AFIPS). 48: 313– 317. doi:10.1109/AFIPS.1979.98
 - Shamir, Adi (1 November 1979). "How to share a secret". *Communications of the ACM*. **22** (11): 612–613. doi:10.1145/359168.359176
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Secret_sharing